

Perioperative use of medical hypnosis

Perioperativna uporaba medicinske hipnoze

Jasmina Markovič Božič, Alenka Spindler Vesel

Abstract

Emotional stress during medical procedures causes a direct negative experience as well as possible negative consequences for patients. It can be reduced by pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions. Hypnosis is a non-pharmacological intervention which reduces perioperative stress and pain. Hypnosedation is an anaesthetic technique that combines hypnosis with local and regional anaesthesia, procedural sedation and analgesia. It is associated with improved intraoperative comfort, reduced anxiety and pain, diminished intraoperative anxiolytics and analgesics requirements, optimised surgical conditions and enhanced recovery. It is appropriated just for certain surgical procedures and selected patients. Multimodal strategies should include pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches, where medical hypnosis is used as an adjunct in perioperative setting.

Izvleček

Perioperativni čustveni stres je za bolnika slaba izkušnja, ki ima negativne posledice. Zmanjšamo ga lahko s farmakološkimi ali nefarmakološkimi metodami. Medicinska hipnoza je nefarmakološka metoda, ki zmanjša perioperativni stres in bolečino. Hipnosedacija je anestezijska tehnika, pri kateri se medicinska hipnoza uporablja kot dodatek k lokalni ali področni anesteziji ob sedaciji ali analgeziji. Izboljša udobje med posegom, zmanjša občutek tesnobe, bolečine, porabo anksiolitikov in analgetikov, izboljša pogoje za operacijo in skrajša čas okrevanja. Uporablja se le pri določenih kirurških posegih in pri izbranih bolnikih. Multimodalna strategija zdravljenja vključuje tako farmakološke kot nefarmakološke pristope. Medicinska hipnoza se lahko uporablja kot sredstvo, ki učinkovito dopolnjuje perioperativno zdravljenje.

Cite as/Citirajte kot: Markovič Božič J, Spindler Vesel A. Perioperative use of medical hypnosis. Zdrav Vestn. 2021;90(3–4):202–7.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6016/ZdravVestn.3026



1 Introduction

The first documented use of medical hypnosis in surgery dates back to 1830 when Jules Cloquet and John Elliotson performed several surgical procedures

under hypnosis and without the addition of anaesthetics (1,2). The introduction of chloroform, ether, and volatile anaesthetics pushed medical hypnosis into the

Department of Anaesthesiology and Surgical Intensive Care, Division of Surgery, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Correspondence/ Korespondenca:

Jasmina Markovič Božič, e: jasmina.markovic1@kclj.si

Key words:

perioperative hypnosis; perioperative stress; hypnosedation; multimodal perioperative treatment

Ključne besede:

perioperativna hipnoza; perioperativni stres; hipnosedacija; multimodalno perioperativno zdravljenje

Received: 13. 1. 2020 Accepted: 4. 1. 2021



background, but it later began to be used again as a complementary method to general anaesthesia and sedation (3). Since 1992, it has been routinely used to supplement sedation and analgesia in procedures performed under local or regional anaesthesia (hypnosedation) (4-9). Emotional stress during procedures contributes to an unsatisfactory experience for patients and can be associated with other negative consequences (pain, nausea, fatigue, inability to perform, poor wound healing) (10,11). Medical hypnosis is a nonpharmacological method that reduces perioperative stress and has no known side effects (10-12). It can be a complementary method for treating perioperative stress as well as anxiety, pain, nausea, and fatigue. The beneficial effects reduce the inflammatory response, drug consumption and shorten recovery and hospital treatment times. Research suggests that medical hypnosis may also have a long-term effect on a more favourable prognosis of cancer outcome (13,14). Therapeutic communication using medical hypnosis has also shown a beneficial mental impact on awake brain surgery patients (14-17).

The purpose of this article is to present how useful medical hypnosis can be in the perioperative period.

2 Neurobiology of hypnosis

Medical hypnosis is a state of consciousness with focused attention, decreased external awareness, and increased suggestibility (18). Scientific research confirms that medical hypnosis is an effective way to relieve pain, anxiety, psychosomatic diseases, dissociative disorders and behavioural disorders, and is useful in medicine, psychology and nursing care (4,9,10,18).

Analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging provides a better understanding of the effects of medical hypnosis on the brain. The functional connection of three brain networks was studied: the executive control network, which involves focused attention and working memory (ECN), the salience network, which involves working memory, challenges, anxiety, emotions and will (SN), and the default mode network, which involves thinking and rest (DMN). The executive control network includes the bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and its upper parietal part. It is involved in focused attention and working memory (19). The main network combines the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), the frontoinsular cortex and the subcortical area, e.g. the hypothalamus. It is activated in the face of challenges or anxiety (19). The default mode network consists of several structures: the posterior cingulated cortex (PCC) and other brain structures in the mid-sagittal line, such as the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). It is activated by thinking and rest and deactivated by task planning (19). In highly suggestible subjects, decreased default mode network activity during medical hypnosis has been reported. This suggests that hypnosis is a state of consciousness different from sleep (20). Increased suggestibility is associated with higher concentrations of dopamine metabolites (homovanillic acid) in cerebrospinal fluid (21). Recently, Jiang and colleagues demonstrated that medical hypnosis reduces dACC activity and increases the functional association between DLPFC and insula in the salience network. It also reduces the connection between the executive control network and the default mode network (22). Medical hypnosis is characterized by the following changes in neural activity: focused attention, enhanced physical and emotional control, and decreased self-awareness (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Functional connection of three brain networks during hypnosis. Figure is summarized from Jiang H, et al (22).

3 Perioperative use of hypnosis

In a meta-analysis, Teflikow and colleagues compared the effectiveness of perioperative hypnosis in three groups of adult patients: with standard care, with increased attention, or with medical hypnosis as a complementary method. Research has shown that medical hypnosis reduce perioperative stress, pain, drug consumption, parameters of vital functions, postoperative recovery time, and duration of surgery (11). More frequent and prolonged therapy sessions with medical hypnosis before surgery does not take precedence over single and shortterm therapy (11). Other studies have found that perioperative use of medical hypnosis or positive suggestions contribute to faster wound healing, faster return of bowel function, and reduction of nausea (4,5,23). Moreover, medical hypnosis in children has also been shown to reduce anxiety before and after surgery more effectively than midazolam (24).

Neuroradiological research has shown reduced activity of the extrinsic brain network involved in perceiving the environment and sensations during medical hypnosis. Hypnosis regulates the perception of pain in interconnected brain networks involved in the transmission and sensation of pain stimuli (8). Halsband and colleagues have shown that in patients with dental phobia, medical hypnosis is effective in reducing fear and the recollection of unpleasant memories (25).

In major operations, surgery and anaesthetic technique significantly affect the immune response. Cell-mediated immunity may increase the number of postoperative complications such as infections, poor wound healing, decreased cognitive abilities, and cancer progression (26,27). In cancer patients, volatile and intravenous anaesthetics have a clinically relevant anti-inflammatory effect (28,29). In these patients, preoperative medical hypnosis effectively reduces emotional stress, nausea after surgery, pain, consumption of analgesics and their side effects, and the release of stress hormones. By reducing perioperative stress, the immune system is strengthened and tumour growth is slowed (2,9,13).

Adverse effects of general anaesthesia and deep sedation may result in haemodynamic instability, respiratory arrest, prolonged mechanical respiration, slowed bowel function, delayed early mobilization of patients, increased cognitive impairment, and prolonged hospital treatment (9). This can be avoided using nonpharmacological approaches to treatment, such as the perioperative use of medical hypnosis, which is inexpensive and without side effects (4-8,13). It is performed 1–5 days before the operation, when the patient's consent and trust are obtained. They are taught breathing techniques and how to visualize a safe and pleasant environment. The technique is based on reliving pleasant life experiences. Patients are positively motivated as they are invited to play an active part in the treatment process (7,30). The act of recalling positive suggestions is used before anaesthesia, which then further reduces anxiety and stress response before surgery. As a complementary method, medical hypnosis can be used with local anaesthesia or sedation. which is known as hypnosedation. During the operation, the feeling of discomfort, anxiety and pain is reduced, consumption of anxiolytics and analgesics during the procedure is reduced, conditions for the operation are improved, and recovery is accelerated (8,10,30). Medical hypnosis brings added value to established anaesthetic procedures but is only suitable for specific procedures and selected patients (7,13). It cannot be performed in deaf patients and patients with psychosis (7).

A good hypnosedation performance requires close cooperation between the surgical and anaesthesia teams. The operating room needs to provide peace. The patient is conscious but has reduced external consciousness, so he or she is distanced from the procedure. The surgeon must work gently and precisely, and the anaesthesiologist must closely monitor the operation itself and the patient's needs (7,30).

Hypnosedation has become a routine technique in many branches of surgery, such as gynaecology, vascular surgery, plastic surgery, maxillofacial surgery, ophthalmology, oncology, orthopaedics, traumatology, dental surgery, and neurosurgery (4-8,13-17).

In Slovenia, the pioneer of medical hypnosis is prof. Marjan Pajntar, MD, PhD (31,32). In 1962, he began practising medical hypnosis in obstetrics (33). The first operation with medical hypnosis alone was performed in 2016 at the University Medical Centre Ljubljana by prof. Uroš Ahčan, MD, PhD. Matej Serdinšek, MD, also a medical hypnosis therapist, tested this method on himself, having the hypnosis performed by the medical hypnosis therapist Mitja Perat, director of the Institute for Human Resource Development (34). The positive effect of hypnosis is also shown in awake neurosurgery (15,35).

4 Conclusion

Although clinically beneficial effects of medical hypnosis have been proven, it is still not used routinely in the perioperative period. A multimodal treatment strategy with a combination of pharmacological and nonpharmacological methods could be used more frequently in the perioperative period. Careful patient selection and close collaboration between the surgical and anaesthesia teams are important for the success of macological approaches.

hypnosedation. Staff need to be trained in communication skills and non-phar-

References

- 1. Schneck JM. Hypnosis in modern medicine. In: Rosen G. Hystory of hypnosis. Springfield: Charles C Thomas; 1953.
- 2. Blankfield RP. Suggestion, relaxation, and hypnosis as adjuncts in the care of surgery patients: a review of the literature. Am J Clin Hypn. 1991;33(3):172-86. DOI: 10.1080/00029157.1991.10402927 PMID: 2012007
- 3. Wobst AH. Hypnosis and surgery: past, present, and future. Anesth Analg. 2007;104(5):1199-208. DOI: 10.1213/01.ane.0000260616.49050.6d PMID: 17456675
- 4. Faymonville EM, Mambourg HP, Joris J, Vrijens B, Fissette J, Albert A, et al. Psychological approaches during conscious sedation. Hypnosis versus stress reducing strategies: a prospective randomized study. Pain. 1997;73(3):361-7. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3959(97)00122-X PMID: 9469526
- 5. Faymonville ME, Fissette J, Mambourg PH, Roediger L, Joris J, Lamy M. Hypnosis as adjunct therapy in conscious sedation for plastic surgery. Reg Anesth. 1995;20(2):145-51. PMID: 7605762
- 6. Meurisse M, Hamoir E, Defechereux T, Gollogly L, Derry O, Postal A, et al. Bilateral neck exploration under hypnosedation: a new standard of care in primary hyperparathyroidism? Ann Surg. 1999;229(3):401-8. DOI: 10.1097/00000658-199903000-00014 PMID: 10077053
- 7. Faymonville ME, Meurisse M, Fissette J. Hypnosedation: a valuable alternative to traditional anaesthetic techniques. Acta Chir Belg. 1999;99(4):141-6. DOI: 10.1080/00015458.1999.12098466 PMID: 10499382
- 8. Vanhaudenhuyse A, Laureys S, Faymonville ME. Neurophysiology of hypnosis. Neurophysiol Clin. 2014;44(4):343-53. DOI: 10.1016/j.neucli.2013.09.006 PMID: 25306075
- 9. Hinkelbein J, Lamperti M, Akeson J, Santos J, Costa J, De Robertis E, et al. European Society of Anaesthesiology and European Board of Anaesthesiology guidelines for procedural sedation and analgesia in adults. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2018;35(1):6-24. DOI: 10.1097/EJA.000000000000683 PMID: 28877145
- 10. Schnur JB, Bovbjerg DH, David D, Tatrow K, Goldfarb AB, Silverstein JH, et al. Hypnosis decreases presurgical distress in excisional breast biopsy patients. Anesth Analg. 2008;106(2):440-4. DOI: 10.1213/ ane.0b013e31815edb13 PMID: 18227298
- 11. Schnur JB, Kafer I, Marcus C, Montgomery GH. Hypnosis to manage distress related to medical procedures: A meta-analysis. Contemp Hypn. 2008;25(3-4):114-28. DOI: 10.1002/ch.364 PMID: 19746190
- 12. Tefikow S, Barth J, Maichrowitz S, Beelmann A, Strauss B, Rosendahl J. Efficacy of hypnosis in adults undergoing surgery or medical procedures: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Psychol Rev. 2013;33(5):623-36. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2013.03.005 PMID: 23628907
- 13. Potié A, Roelants F, Pospiech A, Momeni M, Watremez C. Hypnosis in the perioperative management of breast cancer surgery: clinical benefits and potential implications. Anesthesiol Res Pract. 2016;2016:2942416. DOI: 10.1155/2016/2942416 PMID: 27635132
- 14. Frati A, Pesce A, Palmieri M, Iasanzaniro M, Familiari P, Angelini A, et al. Hypnosis-Aided Awake Surgery for the Management of Intrinsic Brain Tumors versus Standard Awake-Asleep-Awake Protocol: A Preliminary, Promising Experience. World Neurosurg. 2019;121:e882-91. DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2018.10.004 PMID: 30315969
- 15. Markovič-Božič J. Anaesthesia for awake neurosurgery and application of therapeutic communication with hypnosis-our experiences. In: Eans 2017, Venice Italy. 1st to 5th Oct 2017; Venice. EANS 2017. Congress programme. Venice: Venice Convention; 2017. Available from: http://eans2017.com/programme-andabstracts.html#Program.
- 16. Hansen E, Seemann M, Zech N, Doenitz C, Luerding R, Brawanski A. Awake craniotomies without any sedation: the awake-awake-awake technique. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2013;155(8):1417-24. DOI: 10.1007/ s00701-013-1801-2 PMID: 23812965
- 17. Zemmoura I, Fournier E, El-Hage W, Jolly V, Destrieux C, Velut S. Hypnosis for awake surgery of low-grade gliomas: description of the method and psychological assessment. Neurosurgery. 2016;78(1):53-61. DOI: 10.1227/NEU.000000000000993 PMID: 26313220
- 18. Elkins GR, Barabasz AF, Council JR, Spiegel D. Advancing Research and Practice: The Revised APA Division 30 Definition of Hypnosis. Am J Clin Hypn. 2015;57(4):378-85. DOI: 10.1080/00029157.2015.1011465 PMID: 25928776

- Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, et al. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. J Neurosci. 2007;27(9):2349-56. DOI: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.5587-06.2007 PMID: 17329432
- 20. McGeown WJ, Mazzoni G, Venneri A, Kirsch I. Hypnotic induction decreases anterior default mode activity. Conscious Cogn. 2009;18(4):848-55. DOI: 10.1016/j.concog.2009.09.001 PMID: 19782614
- Spiegel D, King R. Hypnotizability and CSF HVA levels among psychiatric patients. Biol Psychiatry. 1992;31(1):95-8. DOI: 10.1016/0006-3223(92)90009-O PMID: 1543801
- 22. Jiang H, White MP, Greicius MD, Waelde LC, Spiegel D. Brain activity and functional connectivity associated with hypnosis. Cereb Cortex. 2017;27(8):4083-93. PMID: 27469596
- Ginandes C, Brooks P, Sando W, Jones C, Aker J. Can medical hypnosis accelerate post-surgical wound healing? Results of a clinical trial. Am J Clin Hypn. 2003;45(4):333-51. DOI: 10.1080/00029157.2003.10403546 PMID: 12722936
- 24. Calipel S, Lucas-Polomeni MM, Wodey E, Ecoffey C. Premedication in children: hypnosis versus midazolam. Paediatr Anaesth. 2005;15(4):275-81. DOI: 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2004.01514.x PMID: 15787917
- Halsband U, Wolf TG. Functional changes in brain activity after hypnosis in patients with dental phobia. J Physiol Paris. 2015;109(4-6):131-42. DOI: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2016.10.001 PMID: 27720948
- 26. Kurosawa S, Kato M. Anesthetics, immune cells, and immune responses. J Anesth. 2008;22(3):263-77. DOI: 10.1007/s00540-008-0626-2 PMID: 18685933
- 27. Desborough JP. The stress response to trauma and surgery. Br J Anaesth. 2000;85(1):109-17. DOI: 10.1093/ bja/85.1.109 PMID: 10927999
- Potočnik I, Novak Janković V, Šostarič M, Jerin A, Štupnik T, Skitek M, et al. Antiinflammatory effect of sevoflurane in open lung surgery with one-lung ventilation. Croat Med J. 2014;55(6):628-37. DOI: 10.3325/ cmj.2014.55.628 PMID: 25559834
- Markovic-Bozic J, Karpe B, Potocnik I, Jerin A, Vranic A, Novak-Jankovic V. Effect of propofol and sevoflurane on the inflammatory response of patients undergoing craniotomy. BMC Anesthesiol. 2016;16(1):18. DOI: 10.1186/s12871-016-0182-5 PMID: 27001425
- 30. Faymonville ME, Boly M, Laureys S, Laureys S. Functional neuroanatomy of the hypnotic state. J Physiol Paris. 2006;99(4-6):463-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2006.03.018 PMID: 16750615
- 31. Pajntar M. Hipnoterapija danes. Isis: Glasilo Zdravniške zbornice Slovenije 2008 Februar; 17(2):73-6.
- Pajntar M, Jeglič A, Štefančič M, Vodovnik L. Improvements of motor response by means of hypnosis in patients with peripheral nerve lesions. Int J Clin Exp Hypn. 1980;28(1):16-26. DOI: 10.1080/00207148008409824 PMID: 6243613
- 33. Pajntar M. Hipnoza kot metoda analgezije v porodništvu. In: Cesar-Komar M, Pirc J, Kožar E. Akutna bolečina: zbornik predavanj. Maribor: SZZB Slovensko združenje za zdravljenje bolečine; 2007.
- 34. Perat M. Operacija pod medicinsko hipnozo. Ona Plus. 2016 Feb 18.
- 35. Markovič Božič J. Anestezija za možganske operacije pri budnem pacientu. In: Novak-Jankovič V, Stecher A. Standardni operativni postopki Kliničnega oddelka za anesteziologijo in intenzivno terapijo operativnih strok. Ljubljana: Univerzitetni klinični center, Klinični oddelek za anesteziologijo in intenzivno terapijo operativnih strok, Kirurška klinika; 2019.